





INVITATION

WORKSHOP WITH DISCUSSION AND DEBATE

Paying for the environment?

Can the REDD+ mechanism and Payments for
Environmental Services (PES) tackle the underlying
causes of deforestation?

The 17th and 18th June 2013

Jardin Tropical de Paris, 45 bis avenue de la Belle Gabrielle Nogent-sur-Marne - Pavillon Indochine RER A: station Nogent-sur-Marne Simultaneous translation French / English

The objective of this workshop is to discuss the ability of the REDD+ mechanism, under its various guises, to tackle the underlying causes of deforestation. We will consider the policies, instruments and measures that might be needed to set up to reach such a goal.

During the first day, various case studies will be presented. This will provide an opportunity to discuss and understand the gap between the concept of REDD+ and the root causes of deforestation. The second day will be devoted to analyzing the reasons for this gap and making recommendations in particular to improve the coherence and efficiency of the public policies to reduce deforestation.

Confirmation of attendance is required.

(maximum of 50 participants for the free lunch)

Please respond before 7 June 2013 : colloque@amisdelaterre.org

— PROGRAM —

Monday 17th June

9h00 — *Reception of participants*

Session 1 : Deforestation and attempts to tackle it through REDD+

CHAIRMAN: Romain Pirard (IDDRI)

9h30-11h00 — *Presentations* (20 min + 5 min questions)

- → (FAO): Deforestation: what is the current situation? What are the causes? (to be confirmed)
- → Laurent Gazull (CIRAD): Is the development of bioenergy, a threat for forests?
- → Alain Karsenty (CIRAD): A brief history of REDD+
- → Gabriela Simonet et Coline Seyller (CIRAD): REDD+ projects and their economic models.

11h00-11h30 — Break

11h30-12h30 — Round-table and debate with the audience

Are there new drivers of deforestation at global scale which require rethinking strategies? Which hypotheses led the REDD+ proposition? What is the current state of REDD+? How did REDD+ projects become a tangible reality when they were supposed to remain "pilots"? How efficient is private governance (especially through labels) framing REDD+ projects?

12h30-14h — Lunch

Session 2: Congo Basin, Indonesia and Brazil: What is the reality of policies for controlling deforestation and what role should REDD and PES play?

CHAIRMAN: Sylvain Angerand (Amis de la Terre)

14h-15h30 — Presentations (20 min + 5 min questions)

- → Abetnego Tarigan (Walhi): REDD+ readiness in Indonesia, discussion on the coherence of deforestation control policies and the development of other sectors (especially mining).
- → Simon Counsell (Rainforest Foundation): The extension of palm oil monoculture in Congo basin.
- → Symphorien Ongolo (CIRAD): What coherence of deforestation control policies in Cameroun?
- → Emilie Coudel (CIRAD): REDD+ and PES in Brazil

15h30-16h00 — Break

16h30-18h — Round table-and debate with the floor, with in addition to the speakers, Indra Van Gisbergen (FERN)

Do REDD+ readiness plans have the capacity to address the new deforestation threats? How should the displacement of activity chains on forests be accounted for? What are the risks of "leakage" at international level? Are practices consistent with discourses? Is the reduction of deforestation in Brazil a success of REDD+? Which policies have contributed to reduce deforestation (laws enforcement, voluntary initiatives...) and what are the lessons for REDD+?

Tuesday 18th of June

9h00 — Reception of participants

Session 3: Communities, climate and Biodiversity: impact of the carbon market?

9h30-11h00 — Presentations (20 min + 5 min questions)

CHAIRMAN: Christian Castellanet (Gret)

- → Jutta Kill (WRM): REDD+, voluntary compensation and carbon market presentation of case study in Mozambique
- → *Marc Brightman* (University College London): REDD+ readiness in Suriname, discussion on the evolution of the relationship of local communities with their government.
- → *Thomas Sembrés* (European Forest Institute) Key conditions for success in REDD+ implementation (title to be confirmed)
- → *Simone Lovera* (Global Forest Coalition): Which alternatives to the Carbone finance to reduce deforestation.

11h00-11h30 — Break

11h30-12h30 — Round table-and debate with the floor, with in addition to the speakers, Eric Belvaux (Independent consultant "Natural Resources Management, Biodiversity and development)

Are there any social and environmental risks associated with the REDD+ market? What coherence is possible with land policies? Will the creation of safeguards be possible and sufficient? What influence do the voluntary carbon-offset projects have in international negotiations and institutional frameworks? What consequences of the collapse on the carbon market for the discussions on architecture and funding of REDD+? May the emerging voluntary market for corporate "carbon neutrality" takes over the other carbon markets? Is it possible to 'neutralize' carbon emissions? Can markets create incentives necessary to the transformation of the economy and bring more environmental justice? Are there alternatives to a market-based funding of REDD+?

12h30-14h — *Lunch*

14h00-16h30 — Final round table-and debate with the floor, to formulate recommendations

Session 4: From projects to public policies: ensuring coherence between policies

PANELBOARD: Alain Karsenty (Cirad); Cyril Loisel (MAE); Mariana Deheza (CDC Climat); Mattia Fosci (University Nottingham); Romain Pirard (IDDRI); Simone Lovera (Global Forest Coalition); Abetnego Tarigan (Walhi)

Should civil society refuse REDD+ or argue in favour of a different architecture? Where does REDD+ fit in the increasingly fragmented international climate regime? What differences between REDD+ and PES? In which type of" green economy" can REDD+ and PES projects fit? How to join agendas form combatting deforestation and food security? Should the consumption of natural resources and energy in Europe be reduced to lighten the pressure on ecological space of southern countries? What impacts on cooperation, natural resources, mines, energy, agriculture policies or on economic agreements? What tools to ensure there is consistency?

This workshop is being organized with the financial support of the European Commission and the REPERE Program (Project "Pay for the environment? REDD+ and PES between commodification and fair development"). Its contents cannot, in any case, be considered to reflect the positions of the European Union.



